arXiv:1104.0468v2 [hep-th] 23 Jun 2011

Canonical quantization with background fields

Pairs Emission in a Uniform Background Field: an Algebraic Approach

by Roberto Soldati

Dipartimento di Fisica - Università di Bologna, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Bologna

Abstract. A fully algebraic general approach is developed to treat the pairs emission and absorption in the presence of some uniform external background field. In particular, it is shown that the pairs production and annihilation operators, together with the pairs number operator, do actually fulfill the SU(2) functional Lie algebra. As an example of application, the celebrated Schwinger formula is consistently and nicely recovered, within this novel approach, for a Dirac spinor field in the presence of a constant and homogeneous electric field in four spacetime dimensions.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx, 02.30.Sa, 73.43.-f

1. Introduction

Soon after the discovery of the relativistic Dirac equation [1] it was quickly realized [2, 3] that electron positron pairs might have been produced out of the vacuum by e.g. a constant homogeneous very strong electric field. Later on Julian Schwinger succeeded in retrieving [4] the electron positron pairs creation rate per unit time and volume, by exploiting the proper time method and the analytic continuation from the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime to the euclidean space. Since then, on the one hand, a huge amount of work, papers and monographies on this subject has been put forward [5, 6, 7]. On the other hand, the experimental probe of the famous Schwinger formula for the electron positron pairs creation rate is still lacking nowadays, because of the very large value of the corresponding electric field strength critical scale [8]. Nonetheless, it has been quite recently suggested [9] that in the pseudorelativistic planar QED effective model for graphene, the detectable emission of massless Dirac quasiparticles antiquasiparticles pairs might occur.

Surprisingly enough, it was only after nearly twenty years, since the seminal Schwinger article, that the exact solutions of the Dirac equation in the presence of a uniform electric field was obtained by Nikishov [10]. In this remarkable paper ‡ as well as within some subsequent ones [11], arguments have been put forward to reobtain the Schwinger formula for the electron positron pairs creation rate from the knowledge of the nonperturbative exact solutions of the Dirac equation in a constant and homogeneous electric field. However, although physically and heuristically well posed, those arguments do not appear, at least in my opinion, to be completely developed and fully convincing from the modern field theoretical point of view. It is the main target of the present paper to fill this gap.

---

‡ I am truly indebted to Gerald V. Dunne for bringing this absolutely relevant paper to my attention.

It is worthwhile to remark that those exact solutions of the Dirac equation are nonstationary. This is because of the gauge choice, which renders the problem exactly solvable, but is such that the ensuing Dirac hamiltonian becomes time dependent. Moreover, those exact solutions are truly nonperturbative in the sense that there is no asymptotic regime in which they reduce to the free field plane wave solutions, just because a uniform electric field is never negligible. As a consequence, it turns out that the asymptotic states and fields can never be identified with the conventional ones §, which are solutions of a the free field theory without external fields.

It is the aim of the present paper to reformulate the pairs emission and absorption issue in a purely algebraic context based upon the canonical quantization in the presence of background, external, uniform fields. This algebraic approach is quite general for it does not rely on the specific form of the Dirac equation and of the uniform field. For example, it might be used to describe matter production in a constant gravitational field, or the Unruh effect [12] in a Rindler spacetime.

The main feature of this approach lies in the fact that the pairs creation, the pairs destruction and the pairs number operator do realize a representation of the functional SU(2) Lie algebra. This idea is not new, since it dates back to the seminal paper by Marinov and Popov [13], in which a group theoretical approach to the problem of pair creation in a time dependent electric field has been developed. However, it is my aim to fully develop this approach, in the modern language of quantum field theory and in a rigorous way, taking the massive Dirac field in a constant electric field as a paradigmatic example. This allows in turn to understand the Bogolyubov transformations [14] as similarity transformations acting upon the Fock space of the states, while the vacuum state will correspond to a coherent state *à la* Dirac [1] with an infinite sea of pairs. In so doing, the Schwinger formula will nicely appear to be the vacuum expectation value of an operator which represents a complex functional rotation around a fixed axis.

For the sake of clarity and to provide a paradigmatic example, in the first two sections I will provide a short overview for the solutions of the Dirac equation in the presence of a constant homogeneous electric field on the Minkowski spacetime, as well as the canonical quantization of the Dirac field and the ensuing derivation of the Schwinger formula. In so doing, I will establish my notations and conventions. Then in section 4 the algebraic approach will be developed and all the formulas will be checked in terms of the four dimensional spinor QED with a uniform electric field. Finally, a short discussion of the concluding remarks will be attempted.

2. Exact Solutions of the Dirac Equation

In this Section I briefly review the structure of the nonstationary (time dependent) exact (nonperturbative) solutions of the Dirac equation, in the presence of a constant and homogeneous electric field on the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime. I will use the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\,[\,+,-,-,-\,]$, natural units $\hbar = c = 1$ and the ordinary or standard representation for the Dirac matrices [15]. The Dirac equation can be written either in the covariant form

---

§ In the literature, unfortunately, there are several authors that roughly and wrongly disregard this crucial feature and come unavoidably to incorrect conclusions.

$$(i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ - M)\Psi(x) = (\gamma^\mu P_\mu - M)\Psi(x) = 0 \tag{1}$$

where $q = -\,e\ (e > 0)$ is the negative electron charge and $p^{\,\mu} = i\partial^{\,\mu} = (i\partial_{\,t}\,, -\,i\nabla)$ while $P_\mu = p_{\,\mu} - qA_{\,\mu}(x) = i\partial_{\,\mu} + eA_{\,\mu}(x)$ is the usual abelian covariant derivative, or *à la* Schrödinger, viz.,

$$i\,\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = H\,\Psi\,, \qquad\qquad H = -eA_0 + \alpha^k P^{\,k} + \beta\,M \tag{2}$$

in which we have set as it is customary

$$\beta \equiv \gamma^0 \qquad\qquad \gamma^0\gamma^k \equiv \alpha^k \qquad\qquad \mathbf{P} = -\,i\,\nabla + e\mathbf{A}$$

If we assume the electrostatic field towards the positive OX axis, that means $F^{10} = F_{01} = E_x = E > 0$, after setting $x^{\,\mu} \equiv (t,\mathbf{r}) = (t,x,y,z)$, we get the *time dependent* hamiltonian in the Nikishov [10] temporal gauge ‖ $A^\mu = (0,-Et,0,0)$

$$H_t = -(i\partial_x + eEt)\,\alpha^1 - i\partial_y\,\alpha^2 - i\partial_z\,\alpha^3 + M\beta \tag{3}$$

which turns out to be a symmetric operator but does not allow for stationary states. It follows that the first order Dirac operators read

$$i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ \pm M = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} i\partial_t \pm M & 0 & i\partial_z & iD_x + \partial_y \\ 0 & i\partial_t \pm M & iD_x - \partial_y & -i\partial_z \\ -i\partial_z & -iD_x - \partial_y & -i\partial_t \pm M & 0 \\ -iD_x + \partial_y & i\partial_z & 0 & -i\partial_t \pm M \end{array}\right) \tag{4}$$

where $D_x \equiv \partial_x - ieEt$, whilst the related second order differential operator turns out to be

$$(i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ + M)\,(i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ - M) = P^{\,2} - M^2 + e\sigma^{\,\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \tag{5}$$

where $\sigma^{\,\mu\nu} \equiv (i/4)[\,\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\,]$ so that the 2nd order differential operator becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ + M)\,(i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ - M) \equiv P^{\,2} - M^2 + e\sigma^{\,\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \ -\partial_t^2 - M^2 + (\partial_x - ieEt)^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 + ieE\,\alpha^1 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It is convenient to obtain the solution of the Dirac equation from the second order equation

$$\left(P^{\,2} - M^2 + e\sigma^{\,\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\right) f(x)\,\Upsilon = 0 \tag{7}$$

where $f(x)$ is a Lorentz invariant complex scalar function, while Υ is one of the constant eigenbispinors of the matrix

$$e\sigma^{\,\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = ieE\,\alpha^1 = ieE\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma_x \\ \sigma_x & 0 \end{array}\right) \ = \ ieE\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{8}$$

Since the above matrix commutes with the matrix of the spin component along the direction of the electrostatic field, i.e.,

$$[\,\alpha^1\,,\,\Sigma_1\,] = 0 \qquad\qquad \Sigma_1 \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_x \end{array}\right) \tag{9}$$

‖ Actually, the Nikishov temporal gauge is a particular case of the general Fock-Schwinger gauge choice $A_{\,\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\,F_{\rho\mu}\,x^{\,\rho}$.

we can suitably introduce the four real bispinors

$$\Upsilon_+^{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Upsilon_+^{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Upsilon_-^{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Upsilon_-^{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$e\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\Upsilon_{\pm}^{r} = \pm\, ieE\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{r} \qquad \beta\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{r} = \Upsilon_{\mp}^{r} \qquad (\,r\ =\uparrow,\downarrow) \tag{11}$$

which satisfy the orthonormality and completeness relations

$$\alpha^1\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{r} = \pm\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{r} \qquad \bar{\Upsilon}_{\pm}^{r}\,\beta\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{s} = \delta^{\,rs} \qquad (\,r,s =\uparrow\downarrow) \tag{12}$$

$$\Sigma_1\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{\uparrow} = \Upsilon_{\pm}^{\uparrow} \qquad \Sigma_1\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{\downarrow} = \,-\,\Upsilon_{\pm}^{\downarrow} \qquad \bar{\Upsilon}_{\pm}^{r}\,\beta\,\Upsilon_{\mp}^{s} = 0 \qquad (\,r,s =\uparrow\downarrow) \tag{13}$$

On the one hand, the bispinors of the first couple $\{\Psi_+^{\,r}(x)\,|\,r\ =\uparrow\downarrow\}$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $+ieE$, are mutually orthogonal and can be determined from the relationship

$$\Psi_+^{\,r}(x) = (i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ + M)\ f(x)\,\Upsilon_+^{\,r} \qquad (\,r\ =\uparrow\downarrow) \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, the bispinors of the second couple $\{\Psi_-^{\,r}(x)\,|\,r =\uparrow\downarrow\}$, related to the eigenvalue $-ieE$, are in turn mutually orthogonal and are constructed according to

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_-^{\,r}(x) &= \ (i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ + M)\ f^*(x)\,\Upsilon_-^{\,r} \\ &= \ (i\partial\!\!\!/ + eA\!\!\!/ + M)\ \beta\,\Upsilon_+^{\,r}\,f^*(x) \qquad (\,r\ =\uparrow\downarrow)\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From eq. (7) we obtain the second order differential equation for the eigenvalue $+ieE$ and the scalar functions $f(x)$ which reads

$$\left[\,\partial_t^2 + M^2 - (\partial_x - ieEt)^2 - \partial_y^2 - \partial_z^2 - ieE\,\right]\ f(x) = 0 \tag{16}$$

so that, after turning to the momentum space

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = f(t,\mathbf{r}) &\equiv (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}\ \exp\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}\}\,\widetilde{f}(t,\mathbf{p}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}\ \exp\{i\,p^k x^k\}\,\widetilde{f}(t,p^1,p^2,p^3)\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

we eventually obtain, with $(p_x,p_y,p_z)\equiv(p^1,p^2,p^3)$,

$$\left[\,\partial_t^2 + M^2 + (p_x - eEt)^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 - ieE\,\right]\widetilde{f}(t,\mathbf{p}) = 0 \tag{18}$$

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantities

$$\xi \equiv \frac{p_x - eEt}{\sqrt{eE}}\,, \qquad \lambda \equiv \frac{p_y^2 + p_z^2 + M^2}{eE} \tag{19}$$

so that we can rewrite the above equation in the standard form

$$\left(\partial_\xi^2 + \xi^2 + \lambda - i\right)\ \widetilde{f}(\xi,\lambda) = 0$$

It can be verified (see the appendix) that the forthcoming two couples of linearly independent solutions of the above equation actually occur and read

$$\widetilde{f}_\lambda^{(1)}(\,\pm z_-\,) = D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1-i)\,\xi\,] \tag{20}$$

$$\widetilde{f}_\lambda^{(2)}(\,\pm z_+\,) = D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,\pm(1+i)\,\xi\,] \tag{21}$$

$$z_\pm \equiv (1\pm i)\,\xi = z_\mp^* \tag{22}$$

where $D_\nu(z)$ are the parabolic cylinder functions.

In order to construct the true physically relevant solutions of the first order Dirac problem, we have to take carefully into account the physical content of the parabolic cylinder functions, according to their asymptotic behaviour [16] – see the Appendix. Namely, to a given particle of momentum $\mathbf{p}$ we shall associate the moving wave fronts, i.e., the surfaces of stationary phases

$$\exp\{i\phi_\pm(t,\mathbf{r})\} \equiv \exp\{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\, i\xi^2(t)\} = \text{constant}$$

Then, in so doing, the temporal evolution is provided by

$$i\partial_t \, \exp\{i\phi_\pm(t,\mathbf{r})\} = -\,\dot{\phi}_\pm(t,\mathbf{r}) \, \exp\{i\phi_\pm(t,\mathbf{r})\} = \mp\,\xi(t)\,\sqrt{eE}\, \exp\{i\phi_\pm(t,\mathbf{r})\}$$

in such a manner that the phase factors $\phi_\pm(t,\mathbf{r})$ lead to positive frequency solutions when $t \to \mp\infty$ that will describe, according to the free field theory jargon, the particles of given momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and negative charge $(-\,e)$.

The normalized solutions of the original first order Dirac equation can be obtained either from eq. (14) or from eq. (15), the final achievement being the same. The result is that we can definitely build up two complete orthonormal sets of *in*–states: namely, $u^{(-)}_{\mathbf{p},\,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r})$, describing incoming electrons of momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and helicity r while $v^{(-)}_{\mathbf{p},\,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r})$ will describe incoming positrons of momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and helicity r respectively. Analogously, we can in turn construct the complete orthonormal sets of *out*–states : $u^{(+)}_{\mathbf{p},\,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r})$, describing outgoing electrons of momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and helicity r and $v^{(+)}_{\mathbf{p},\,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r})$, which describe outgoing positrons of momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and helicity r respectively. Taking all of that into account we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\,\gamma^{\,\mu}P_\mu \;+\; M\,)\exp\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}\} = \\ &\left(\begin{array}{cccc} -\sqrt{eE}\,\mathrm{id}_\xi + M & 0 & -p_z & -\xi\sqrt{eE} + ip_y \\ 0 & -\sqrt{eE}\,\mathrm{id}_\xi + M & -\xi\sqrt{eE} - ip_y & p_z \\ p_z & \xi\sqrt{eE} - ip_y & \sqrt{eE}\,\mathrm{id}_\xi + M & 0 \\ \xi\sqrt{eE} + ip_y & -p_z & 0 & \sqrt{eE}\,\mathrm{id}_\xi + M \end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\,i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\end{aligned}$$

after setting

$$i\partial_t = -\sqrt{eE}\,\mathrm{id}_\xi = -\sqrt{eE}\,(1 \pm i)\frac{i\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z_\pm}$$

we immediately obtain

$$(\gamma^{\,\mu}P_\mu + M)\,\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+} = M\,\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+}(\varpi) - \Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{-}\sqrt{eE}\,(\xi + i\mathrm{d}_\xi) \tag{23}$$

$$\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+}(\varpi) \equiv \Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+} + i\,\varpi\,\Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{+} \qquad\qquad \varpi \equiv (\,p_y + ip_z\,)/M \tag{24}$$

and from the recursive relations

$$(\,\xi + i\mathrm{d}_\xi\,)\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_-) = \mp\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_-) \tag{25}$$

we eventually find

$$\begin{aligned}&(\gamma^{\mu}P_\mu + M)\,\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_-) = \\ &\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_-) \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_-)\,\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{-}\end{aligned}$$

In a quite analogous way we obtain

$$(\gamma^{\mu}P_\mu + M)\,\Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{+} = M\,\Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{+}(\varpi) - \Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{-}\sqrt{eE}\,(\xi + i\mathrm{d}_\xi) \tag{26}$$

$$\Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{+}(\varpi) \equiv \Upsilon^{\downarrow}_{+} + i\,\varpi\,\Upsilon^{\uparrow}_{+} \tag{27}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}&(\gamma^{\mu}P_{\mu}+M)\,\Upsilon_{+}^{\downarrow}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_{-})=\\&\Upsilon_{+}^{\downarrow}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_{-})\pm\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_{-})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\downarrow}\end{aligned}$$

It follows therefrom that the bispinor solutions which describe an incoming electron can be written as $(\,r=\uparrow,\downarrow\,)$

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{in}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\;\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(z_{-})+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(z_{-})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,r}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

whilst the bispinor solutions which describe an outgoing positron read

$$\begin{aligned}v_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{out}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\;\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(-\,z_{-})-\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(-\,z_{-})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,r}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Notice that we actually obtain the standard normalization $(\,r,s=\uparrow,\downarrow\,)$

$$\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\;\bar{u}_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{in}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,u_{\,\mathbf{q},\,s}^{\,\mathrm{in}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})=\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{rs}=\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\;\bar{v}_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{out}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,v_{\,\mathbf{q},\,s}^{\,\mathrm{out}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\tag{28}$$

A closely similar construction can be done to set up the bispinor solutions which describe incoming positrons and outgoing electrons respectively. As a matter of fact, from the other linearly independent solutions (21) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\gamma^{\mu}P_{\mu}+M)\,\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}\,D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_{+})=\\&\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_{+})\mp\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,-i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_{+})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,r}\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

where use has been made of the recursive relation

$$(\,\xi+i\mathrm{d}_{\xi}\,)\,D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\,(\pm\,z_{+})=\pm\,(1-i)\,D_{\,-i\lambda/2}\,(\pm\,z_{+})$$

so that we eventually obtain the following list of incoming and outgoing bispinor solutions of the Dirac equation (1) with a given momentum and polarization

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{in}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\;\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(z_{-})+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(z_{-})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,r}\right\}\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}v_{\,\mathbf{p},\,s}^{\,\mathrm{in}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,2eE\,(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,s}(\varpi)\,MD_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,(z_{+})-\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\;D_{-i\lambda/2}\,(z_{+})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,s}\right\}\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\,\mathbf{p},\,s}^{\,\mathrm{out}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,2eE\,(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,s}(\varpi)\,MD_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,(-\,z_{+})+\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\;D_{-i\lambda/2}\,(-\,z_{+})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,s}\right\}\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}v_{\,\mathbf{p},\,r}^{\,\mathrm{out}}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\;&=\;[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^{3}\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\,\tfrac{1}{8}\pi\lambda\right\}\\&\times\;\left\{\Upsilon_{+}^{\,r}(\varpi)\,MD_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(-\,z_{-})-\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,(1-i)\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(-\,z_{-})\,\Upsilon_{-}^{\,r}\right\}\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

By looking at the asymptotic behaviour of the parabolic cylinder functions – see the appendix – one obtains the following result, in accordance with the previously discussed meaning of the positive and negative frequencies solutions: namely,

$$u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,(t,\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{M\,[\,2\xi^2(t)\,]^{\,i\lambda/4}}{\surd\,[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^3\,]}\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}+\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\xi^2(t)\right\}\Upsilon^{\,s}_{+}(\varpi) \qquad (\,t\to-\infty\,) \tag{34}$$

$$v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,(t,\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{[\,2\xi^2(t)\,]^{\,-\,i\lambda/4}}{\surd\,[\,2eE(2\pi)^3\,]}\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\xi^2(t)+\tfrac{3}{4}\,\pi i\right\}\,\Upsilon^{\,s}_{-} \qquad (\,t\to-\infty\,) \tag{35}$$

$$u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,(t,\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{[\,2\xi^2(t)\,]^{\,-\,i\lambda/4}}{\surd\,[\,2eE(2\pi)^3\,]}\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\xi^2(t)-\tfrac{1}{4}\,\pi i\right\}\,\Upsilon^{\,s}_{-} \qquad (\,t\to+\infty\,) \tag{36}$$

$$v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,(t,\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{M\,[\,2\xi^2(t)\,]^{\,i\lambda/4}}{\surd\,[\,\lambda eE(2\pi)^3\,]}\exp\left\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}+\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\xi^2(t)\right\}\Upsilon^{\,s}_{+}(\varpi) \qquad (\,t\to+\infty\,) \tag{37}$$

The two sets of incoming and outgoing bispinor wave functions of definite momentum and polarization

$$\{u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,;\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\}\,,\{u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,;\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\} \qquad (\,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{R}^3\,,\ r,s=\uparrow,\downarrow\,) \tag{38}$$

turns out to be orthonormal and complete in the generalized sense, i.e. in the sense of the theory of the tempered distributions. Thus they represent the bases in the Hilbert spaces $\mathfrak{H}^{\,\mathrm{in}}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{\,\mathrm{out}}$ of the Dirac bispinors in the presence of an electrostatic background field. As a matter of fact, we can readily verify that the scalar products are time independent owing to the self–adjointness of the 1-particle Dirac hamiltonian H_t so that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,\right) &\equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{u}^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\\ &= \delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} = \left(\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,\right)\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,\right) &\equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{v}^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\\ &= \delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} = \left(\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,r}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}\,\right)\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Notice that we can also immediately obtain that

$$\left(\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,\right) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{u}^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}) = 0 \tag{41}$$

$$\left(\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,\right) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{v}^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}) = 0 \tag{42}$$

which means that the positive and negative frequency solutions of the Dirac equations are mutually orthogonal. Moreover, it is straightforward although tedious to check by direct inspection the equal time closure relations

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\left[\,u^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,\otimes\,\bar{u}^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}+v^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,\otimes\,\bar{v}^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,\right]=\beta \tag{43}$$

where we have set for the sake of brevity

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\ \equiv\ \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}\sum_{r\,=\,\uparrow\downarrow}$$

while $^{\mathrm{as}}$ stands for either $^{\mathrm{in}}$ or $^{\mathrm{out}}$. As a consequence, we can write in the very same way the most general operator solutions of the Dirac equation as follows: namely,

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\ \left[\,a_{\,\mathrm{as}}(\mathbf{p},r)\,u^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,(x)+b^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathbf{p},r)\,v^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,(x)\,\right] \tag{44}$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\ \left[\,a^{\,\dagger}_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathbf{p},r)\,\bar{u}^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,(x)+b_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathbf{p},r)\,\bar{v}^{\,\mathrm{as}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,(x)\,\right] \tag{45}$$

where the creation and destruction operators satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations

$$\{a_{\rm as}(\mathbf{p},r),a^{\dagger}_{\rm as}(\mathbf{q},s)\}=\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{rs}=\{b_{\rm as}(\mathbf{p},r),b^{\dagger}_{\rm as}(\mathbf{q},s)\}$$

all the other anticommutators being equal to zero. From the closure relations (43) we can easily derive the canonical equal time anticommutation relations

$$\{\psi(t,\mathbf{r}'),\bar{\psi}(t,\mathbf{r})\}=\sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\left[u^{\rm as}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}')\,\bar{u}^{\rm as}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})+v^{\rm as}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}')\,\bar{v}^{\rm as}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})\right]=\beta\,\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$$

Accordingly, the causal Green's function, or Feynman propagator, for the Dirac spinor under the influence of a background electrostatic homogeneous field has been firstly reported in Ref. [10] in full detail.

This means that we have to introduce the Fock spaces $\mathfrak{F}^{\rm as}$ for the incoming and outgoing particles and antiparticles. For example, starting from the in–vacuum $|\,0\,{\rm in}\,\rangle$ one can generate as usual the 1-particle state of charge $-\,e$ momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and polarization $s=\uparrow\downarrow$ describing an incoming electron, viz.,

$$a^{\dagger}_{\rm in}(\mathbf{p},s)|\,0\,{\rm in}\,\rangle=|\,-\,\mathbf{p}\,s\,{\rm in}\,\rangle$$

the corresponding wave function being $u^{\rm in}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})$. All the other 1-particle and many particle states for incoming and outgoing electrons and positrons can be constructed in close analogy. It follows therefrom that the charge operator, the momentum operator and the helicity operator, i.e. the projection of the spin angular momentum along the electric field direction, will correspond to the customary expressions

$$\begin{aligned}Q&\equiv(-\,e)\int{\rm d}\mathbf{r}\ :\psi^{\dagger}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\psi(t,\mathbf{r}):\\&=(-\,e)\sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\left[a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\,a\,(\mathbf{p}\,,r)-b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\,b\,(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\right]\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}&\equiv(-\,i)\int{\rm d}\mathbf{r}\ :\psi^{\dagger}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\nabla\,\psi(t,\mathbf{r}):\\&=\sum_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\mathbf{p}\left[a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\,a\,(\mathbf{p}\,,r)-b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\,b\,(\mathbf{p}\,,r)\right]\end{aligned}\tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}h\;&\equiv\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}{\rm d}x:\psi^{\dagger}(t,x)\,\Sigma_1\,\psi(t,x):\\&=\tfrac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}{\rm d}p\,\Big[a^{\dagger}(\,p\,,\uparrow)\,a\,(\,p\,,\uparrow)-a^{\dagger}(\,p\,,\downarrow)\,a\,(\,p\,,\downarrow)\\&+b^{\dagger}(\,p\,,\uparrow)\,b\,(\,p\,,\uparrow)-b^{\dagger}(\,p\,,\downarrow)\,b\,(\,p\,,\downarrow)\Big]\end{aligned}\tag{48}$$

where the suffix ${}^{\rm in}$ or ${}^{\rm out}$ is understood.

Let us now turn to the calculation of the invariant inner product between the wave functions of an incoming electron with quantum numbers $\mathbf{p}\,,\,r$ and of an outgoing positron of quantum numbers $\mathbf{q}\,,\,s$: we find

$$\int{\rm d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{v}^{\rm out}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,u^{\rm in}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})={\rm e}^{-\,\pi\lambda/2}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{rs}\tag{49}$$

and analogously

$$\int{\rm d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{v}^{\rm in}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,u^{\rm out}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r})=e^{-\,\pi\lambda/2}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{rs}\tag{50}$$

so that we can write in a compact way

$$\left(v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\right) = e^{-\,\pi\lambda/2}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} = \left(u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\right) \tag{51}$$
$$\forall\,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{R}^3\,,\ r,s=\uparrow\downarrow$$

The above equality has led Nikishov [10] to the attempt of understanding the real positive quantity

$$w_\lambda \equiv \exp\{-\,\pi\,(\,p_y^2+p_z^2+M^2\,)/eE\} \qquad\qquad 0<w_\lambda<1$$

as the probability of creating out of the vacuum one electron positron pair, in which the particle and the antiparticle have opposite good quantum numbers $(\,\mp e\,,\mathbf{p},r\,)$. This intringuing interpretation is supported by the fact that indeed we have

$$\left(u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\right) \;=\; \delta_{\,rs}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\;N_\lambda \tag{52}$$

where we have set

$$N_\lambda \;\equiv\; \exp\{-\,\pi\lambda/4\}\,\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi}}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{i\lambda}{2}\right)\,\sinh\frac{\pi\lambda}{2} \tag{53}$$

Now, since we find

$$N_\lambda\,N_\lambda^* = 1-\mathrm{e}^{-\,\pi\lambda} = 1-w_\lambda$$

it is natural to understand the complex quantity N_λ as the probability amplitude that one pair, in which the particle and the antiparticle have opposite quantum numbers $(\,\mp e\,,\mathbf{p},r\,)$, is **not** created out of the vacuum by absorbing energy from the electrostatic external field. Actually, in fact, it is also straightforward to verify that for positrons we get

$$\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}\ \bar{v}^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\,\beta\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r}) = N_\lambda^*\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} \tag{54}$$

thus providing the full endorsement to the whole construction. By the way, it is apparent that the probability amplitudes for the absorption and nonabsorption of one pair $(\,\mp e\,,\mathbf{p},r\,)$, are still expressed by w_λ and $1-w_\lambda$ respectively, owing to obvious symmetry reasons.

3. Pairs Production Annihilation Mechanism

Here I would like to shortly discuss how, according to the Nikishov's original proposal [10], one can try to recover the Schwinger formula for the rate of pairs emission and absorption, per unit time and unit volume, from the knowledge of the exact solutions of the Dirac equation. As we shall see here below, this attempt is not at all flawless, because of the presence of divergences and the consequent unavoidable introduction of some regularisation method. To this concern, it is useful to perform the Bogolyubov transformations connecting the incoming and outgoing complete orthonormal sets of the exact nonperturbative solutions of the Dirac equation in the presence of the homogeneous electrostatic field.

The above four sets (38) of incoming and outgoing solutions are indeed related throughout a Bogolyubov transformation, that is

$$u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} = c_{\,1\lambda}\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} + c_{\,2\lambda}\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} \tag{55}$$
$$v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} = c^*_{\,2\lambda}\,u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} + c^*_{\,1\lambda}\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r} \tag{56}$$
$$|c_{\,1\lambda}|^2 + |c_{\,2\lambda}|^2 = 1 \tag{57}$$

We have

$$\left(u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\right) = N_\lambda\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} \tag{58}$$

$$\left(v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\right) = N^{*}_\lambda\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} \tag{59}$$

$$\left(u^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\right) = \exp\left\{-\,\tfrac{1}{4}\,\pi\lambda\right\}\;\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta_{\,rs} = \left(u^{\,\mathrm{in}}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,v^{\,\mathrm{out}}_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\right) \tag{60}$$

Then, using the above listed orthonormality relations, we immediately find

$$c_{\,1\lambda} = N_\lambda \qquad\qquad c_{\,2\lambda} = \exp\left\{-\,\tfrac{1}{4}\,\pi\lambda\right\} = c^{*}_{\,2\lambda} \tag{61}$$

In turn, the relative probability of a pair production will be coherently given by

$$w_\lambda = 1 - |\,N_\lambda\,|^2 = c^{\,2}_{\,2\lambda} = \exp\left\{-\,\pi\lambda\right\}$$

The vacuum to vacuum persistence amplitude can be written in the form – see also the recent up to date review [7],

$$\langle\,\mathrm{out}\,0\,|\,0\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle = \exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}\left[\,\Re\mathrm{e}\,\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}(E) + \mathrm{i}\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}(E)\,\right]\right\} \tag{62}$$

$$|\,\langle\,\mathrm{out}\,0\,|\,0\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle\,|^{\,2} = \exp\{-\,2\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_{\,i})\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}(E)\} \tag{63}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}(E)$ is referred to as the effective lagrangian density in the presence of a background electrostatic field E , whereas $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_{\,i}$ does indicate the very long total time during which the pairs production or annihilation takes place, while $\mathcal{V}$ denotes the total volume of e.g. a very large cubic box of sides L_x, L_y, L_z in the three dimensional space. Needless to say, the very large dimensional quantities $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_{\,i}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are in fact infrared divergences. It turns out that $\Gamma_{\mathrm{eff}}(E)$ contains a real part that describes dispersive effects like the Faraday's birifringence, as well as an imaginary part that concerns absorbitive effects like vacuum pairs production.

Now, according to the above described interpretation, the absolute probability for no pairs creation will be thereof given by the formal, divergent expression

$$\begin{aligned}|\,\langle\,\mathrm{out}\,0\,|\,0\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle\,|^{\,2} &= \prod_{\mathbf{k}\,,\,r} N_\lambda\,N^{*}_\lambda = \prod_{\mathbf{k}}\,\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\,\pi\lambda}\right)^2 \\ &\equiv\;\exp\{\,2\textstyle\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\ln\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\,\pi\lambda}\right)\}\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where the mandatory dimensionless spatial vector index

$$\mathbf{k}\;=\;(\,p_x\,L_x/2\pi\,,\,p_y\,L_y/2\pi\,,\,p_z\,L_z/2\pi\,)$$

has been introduced to vindicate the probabilistic interpretation with

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\;\equiv\;\mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-n}\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}$$

Notice that when the electric field is switched off then $w_\lambda \to 0$ and consequently the vacuum to vacuum probability becomes trivially equal to one. It is important to realize that the validity of the above relation (64) stems from the natural assumption that the production and the destruction of any two pairs with different quantum numbers are statistically independent events. Now we find

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\,|\,\langle\,\mathrm{out}\,0\,|\,0\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle\,|^{\,2} &= 2\,L_x\,L_y\,L_z\,(2\pi)^{-\,3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p_x \\ \times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p_y\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p_z\;&\ln\left(1-\exp\{-\,\pi(\,p_y^2+p_z^2+M^2\,)/eE\}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

which is, as it stands, an ill defined expression owing to the infrared (large volume) and ultraviolet (large longitudinal momentum p_x) divergences. The latter one can be expressed in terms of the large total time during which the process of pairs emission or absorption takes place: namely,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}p_x \;\equiv\; eE(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_i)$$

what corresponds to a suitable ultraviolet regularisation because, in the presence of a uniform field, this time interval is arbitrarily long. To sum up, we can express the total absolute probability of pairs production out of the vacuum (or pairs absorption into the vacuum) as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{pairs}} &\equiv 1 - |\langle\,\mathrm{out}\,0\,|\,0\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle|^{\,2} \\ &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\,\frac{2}{\hbar}\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(E)\right\} \\ &\approx \frac{2}{\hbar}\,\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_i)\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\,\text{eff}}(E) \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

in which we have taken into account that the quantity $\Im\mathrm{m}\,\Gamma_{\text{eff}}(E)/\hbar$ is tipically very small for massive particle antiparticle pairs. Hence, the average probability of pairs production per unit volume and unit time is approximately given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\,\text{pairs}} &\equiv W_{\text{pairs}}\,[\,\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_i)\,]^{-1} \;\approx\; \frac{2}{\hbar}\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(E) \\ &= -\,\frac{eE}{4\pi^3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p_y\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p_z\;\ln\left(1 - \exp\{-\,\pi\lambda\}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^2E^2}{4\pi^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\,\exp\left\{-\,n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

the nth term of the series being *grosso modo* understood to be the probability of the emission or absorpion of n pairs. From the above equation one can readily extract the value of the critical electrostatic field, above which the probability of production of e.g. electron positron pairs becomes appreciable: namely,

$$E_{\,\text{cr}} = \frac{m_e^2\,c^3}{\hbar\,e} \simeq 1.3\times 10^{\,18}\ \mathrm{V/m}$$

which is far beyond the present experimental capabilities. Nonetheless it is worthwhile to observe to this concern, in accordance with refs. [9], that for massless charged spinor particle the approximation $\frac{1}{2}\,\Gamma_{\,\text{pairs}} \approx \Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(E)$ does not certainly hold true. This opens the interesting possibility to detect the pairs production and destruction in a two dimensional graphene sample.

As a final remark, I recall that, as it is well known, the nonperturbative complex effective action can be rigorously derived from the euclidean formulation and the zeta function regularisation – see Appendix B.

4. The Algebraic Approach

In order to develop the most general algebraic approach to the pairs production and annihilation processes in the presence of an external background uniform field, it is convenient to introduce a multi-valued index $\imath, \jmath, \ell, \varkappa, \ldots$ to label the whole set

$\mathfrak{Q}$ of discrete and continuos quantum numbers that correspond to the conserved quantities of the system under consideration, but for the quantum number that distinguishes particles from antiparticles. For example, in the case of a spinor field in a constant homogeneous electric field on the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime we have $\imath = (\mathbf{p}, r)$ with $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $r = 1, 2$. From now on I will indicate with $\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger$, $\mathrm{a}_\imath$ ($\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}$) the creation and destruction operators for particles of negative electric charge $q = -e$ $(e > 0)$ while $\mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger$, $\mathrm{b}_\jmath$ ($\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}$) the corresponding operators for the antiparticles of positive electric charge e.

4.1. Pairs Operators Algebra

Consider the pairs annihilation and pairs production dimensionless operators

$$\Pi(z) \equiv \sum_{\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}} z_\jmath \, \mathrm{a}_\jmath \, \mathrm{b}_\jmath = \sum_{\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}} z_\jmath \, \Pi_\jmath \tag{68}$$

$$\Pi^\dagger(\bar{z}) \equiv \sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} \bar{z}_\imath \, \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger \mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger = \sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} \bar{z}_\imath \, \Pi_\imath^\dagger \tag{69}$$

where we use the short notation

$$\sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \sum_r \cdots \qquad (\, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n \,, \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \,)$$

whereas $z_\imath \equiv z(\mathbf{p}, r, \ldots)$ are complex valued *dimensionless* functions and such that

$$\mathcal{V}_n \, (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \, \bar{z}_\imath = \nu_o \qquad (\, n = 1, 2, 3 \,)$$

is a *pure number* that will be named the *characteristic number* of the given pairs distribution function $z(\, \mathbf{p}, r, \ldots)$, while $\mathcal{V}_n$ is the volume of a very large cubic box in the nth dimensional euclidean space. The creation and destruction operators for particles ($\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger$, $\mathrm{a}_\jmath$) and antiparticles ($\mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger$, $\mathrm{b}_\jmath$) satisfy the usual canonical anticommutation relations

$$\{\, \mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger \,,\, \mathrm{a}_\jmath \,\} = \{\, \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger \,,\, \mathrm{b}_\jmath \,\} = \delta_{\imath\jmath} \qquad (\, \imath, \jmath \in \mathfrak{Q} \,)$$

all the remaining ones being equal to zero. The Fock vacuum is defined as usual

$$\mathrm{a}_\imath \,|\, 0 \,\rangle = 0 = \langle\, 0 \,|\, \mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger \qquad \mathrm{b}_\imath \,|\, 0 \,\rangle = 0 = \langle\, 0 \,|\, \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger \qquad (\, \forall \imath \in \mathfrak{Q} \,) \tag{70}$$

In a quite general manner, if we denote by Q_a $(\, a = 1, 2, \ldots, A \,)$ all the conserved charges of the system which are allowed by the background field configuration, i.e.

$$Q_a = \sum_{\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}} q_a \left(\mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger \, \mathrm{a}_\jmath - \mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger \, \mathrm{b}_\jmath \right) \qquad (\, a = 1, 2, \ldots, A \,)$$

where e.g. q_a $(\, a = 1, 2, \ldots, A \,)$ are the particle charges while $-\, q_a$ the antiparticle charges, then for any 1-pair state $\mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger \, \mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger \,|\, 0 \,\rangle$ of definite quantum numbers $\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}$ we evidently find

$$Q_a \, \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger \mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger \,|\, 0 \,\rangle = 0 \qquad (\, \forall \, \imath \in \mathfrak{Q} \,, \quad a = 1, 2, \ldots, A \,) \tag{71}$$

More generally, from the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\, Q_a \,,\, \Pi(z) \,] &= \sum_{\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}} q_a \sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \left[\, \mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger \, \mathrm{a}_\jmath - \mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger \, \mathrm{b}_\jmath \,,\, \mathrm{a}_\imath \mathrm{b}_\imath \,\right] \\ &= (\, -\, q_a \,) \sum_{\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \Big(\mathrm{a}_\imath \, \mathrm{b}_\imath + \mathrm{b}_\imath \, \mathrm{a}_\imath \Big) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

which obviously also imply $\left[\,Q_a\,,\,\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)\,\right] \,=\, 0\ (\,a = 1,2,\ldots,A\,)$, it follows that if we set

$$\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)|\,0\,\rangle \;\equiv\; |\,\bar z\,\rangle \tag{73}$$

then we find

$$Q_a\,|\,\bar z\,\rangle \,=\, 0 \qquad\qquad (\,a = 1,2,\ldots,A\,) \tag{74}$$

which means that the generic 1-pair state of distribution function $z(\,\mathbf{p},r,\ldots\,)$ is a common null eigenstate of all the conserved charge operators. For example, in the case of Dirac spinors in the uniform electric field the conserved charges are the electric charge, the three components of momentum and the helicity, so that $A = 5$.

The pairs creation and annihilation operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\,\Pi(z)\,,\,\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)\,\right] &= \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \bar z_\jmath\,\left[\,\mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\,,\,\mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger\,\right] \\
=\ \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \bar z_\jmath &\left(\mathrm{a}_\imath\left[\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\,,\,\mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger\,\right] + \left[\,\mathrm{a}_\imath\,,\,\mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger\,\right]\mathrm{b}_\imath\right) \\
=\ \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \bar z_\jmath &\left(\mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger - \mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\right)\delta_{\imath\jmath} \\
=\ \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath\,\bar z_\imath &\left(\mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger - \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\right) \;\equiv\; -\,2\mathrm{N}(\bar z z)
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}(\bar z z) \equiv &\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \tfrac{1}{2} z_\imath\,\bar z_\imath\left(\mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger\,\mathrm{b}_\imath - \mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger\right) \\
= &\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath\,\bar z_\imath\,n_\imath \;=\; \mathrm{N}^\dagger(z\bar z) \\
= &\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \tfrac{1}{2} z_\imath\,\bar z_\imath\left(\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\imath + \mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\nu_o
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Finally for $\nu_\imath \in \mathbb{R}$ $(\,\forall\,\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}\,)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\,\mathrm{N}(\nu)\,,\,\Pi(z)\,\right] \;&=\; \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \tfrac{1}{2}\,\nu_\jmath \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} z_\imath\,\left[\,\mathrm{b}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{b}_\jmath + \mathrm{a}_\jmath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\jmath\,,\,\mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{b}_\imath\,\right] \\
&=\; -\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \nu_\imath\,z_\imath\,\mathrm{a}_\imath\,\mathrm{b}_\imath \;=\; -\,\Pi(z\nu)
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

$$\left[\,\mathrm{N}(\nu)\,,\,\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)\,\right] \;=\; \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \nu_\imath\,\bar z_\imath\,\mathrm{b}_\imath^\dagger\,\mathrm{a}_\imath^\dagger \,=\; \Pi^\dagger(\nu\bar z) \tag{78}$$

It follows therefrom that the above three operators do satisfy the well known commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\,\mathrm{N}(\nu)\,,\,\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)\,\right] \;&=\; \Pi^\dagger(\nu\bar z) \\
\left[\,\Pi(z)\,,\,\mathrm{N}(\nu)\,\right] \;&=\; \Pi(\nu z) \\
\left[\,\Pi^\dagger(\bar z)\,,\,\Pi(z)\,\right] \;&=\; 2\mathrm{N}(\bar z z)
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

in which

$$\Pi^\dagger(\bar z) = J_+(\bar z) = J_x(u) + \mathrm{i}\,J_y(v) \tag{80}$$

$$\Pi(z) = J_-(z) = J_x(u) - \mathrm{i}\,J_y(v) \tag{81}$$

$$\mathrm{N}(\nu) = J(uv) \tag{82}$$

where the threesome of operators

$$J_x(u) \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\Pi(z) + \Pi^{\,\dagger}(\bar{z})\Big)$$
$$J_y(v) \equiv \frac{1}{2i}\,\Big(\Pi^{\,\dagger}(\bar{z}) - \Pi(z)\Big)$$
$$J_z(uv) = \mathrm{N}(\nu) \tag{83}$$

are a basis of hermitean generators obeying the well known SU(2) Lie algebra

$$[\,J_a(u)\,,\,J_b(v)\,] = i\hbar\,\varepsilon_{\,abc}\,J_c(uv) \qquad (\,a,b,c = 1,2,3\,) \tag{84}$$

The quantum state $|\,\bar{z}\,\rangle$, that represents a generic 1-pair state with a momentum distribution function $\bar{z}_{\,\imath}$ $(\,\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,)$ does satisfy

$$|\,\bar{z}\,\rangle = \Pi^{\,\dagger}(\bar{z})\,|\,0\,\rangle \qquad \langle\,z\,| = \langle\,0\,|\,\Pi(z) \tag{85}$$

and has the norm

$$\langle\,z\,|\,\bar{z}\,\rangle = \langle\,0\,|\,[\,\Pi(z)\,,\,\Pi^{\,\dagger}(\bar{z})\,]\,|\,0\,\rangle = -\,2\langle\,0\,|\,\mathrm{N}(\bar{z}z)\,|\,0\,\rangle = \nu_o \tag{86}$$

4.2. The Schwinger Formula from the Algebraic Approach

The general feature that characterizes the pairs production and annihilation processes in the presence of external background uniform fields is the existence of a nonsingular Bogolyubov similarity transformation $\mathcal{S}$, the generator of which is acting on the Fock space according to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{A}_\imath &= \mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,\mathcal{S} \equiv\; c_{1\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath} - c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\\ \mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\imath} &= \mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathcal{S} \equiv\; c^{*}_{1\imath}\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath} + c_{\,2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

where

$$|\,c_{1\imath}\,|^{\,2} + |\,c_{2\,\imath}\,|^{\,2} = 1 \qquad (\,\forall\,\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,)$$

in such a manner that the canonical anticommutation relations

$$\{\,\mathrm{A}_\imath\,,\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\jmath}\,\} = 0 = \{\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,,\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\jmath}\,\}\,, \qquad \text{et cetera}$$

keep unchanged thanks to the similarity nature of the invertible transformation $\mathcal{S}$. It follows that we come to the two Fock spaces $\mathfrak{F}_{\,\mathrm{in}}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\,\mathrm{out}}$ which are generated by the cyclic vacuum states normalized to one and defined by

$$\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle = \mathrm{b}_{\,\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle = 0 \qquad (\,\forall\,\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,) \tag{88}$$

$$\mathrm{A}_{\,\jmath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle = \mathrm{B}_{\,\jmath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle = 0 \qquad (\,\forall\,\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,) \tag{89}$$

Now we have for example

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle &= c_{\,1\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle - c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle\\ &= \mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\,c_{\,1\imath}\;|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle -\; c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\Pi^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

so that

$$\langle\,\mathrm{in}\ 0\,|\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle = \mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\,c_{\,1\imath} \qquad (\,\forall\,\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,) \tag{91}$$

whence it follows that, as expected, the Bogolyubov coefficient $c_{\,1\imath}$ is nothing but the probability amplitude that a pair of quantum numbers $\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}$ is **not created** out of the vacuum or **not absorbed** into the vacuum, i.e. the relative vacuum persistence probability density. In a similar way, by taking the in vacuum expectation value

$$\begin{aligned}(2\pi)^n\,\mathcal{V}_n^{-1}\,\langle\,\mathrm{in}\ 0\,|\,\Pi_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle &= \,-\;c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,(2\pi)^n\,\mathcal{V}_n^{-1}\,\langle\,\mathrm{in}\ 0\,|\,\Pi_{\,\imath}\,\Pi^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle\\ &= \,-\;\mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\,c^{*}_{2\,\imath} \qquad (\,\forall\,\imath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,)\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

it is also clear that we can understand the Bogolyubov coefficient $c_{2\imath}$ as the probability amplitude that a pair of quantum numbers $\imath \in \mathfrak{O}$ is created out of the vacuum or absorbed into the vacuum. To proceed further on, let me define

$$\mathcal{S}(\,\theta,\widehat{\mathbf{n}}) \;\equiv\; \exp\{-\,i\,\theta\cdot\mathrm{T}(z,\bar{z},\nu)\} \tag{93}$$

$$\theta\cdot\mathrm{T}(z,\bar{z},\nu) \equiv\; \Pi^{\,\dagger}(\,\theta\,\bar{z}) + \Pi(z\theta) + 2\mathrm{N}(\theta\nu) \tag{94}$$

where θ_ℓ $(\,\forall\,\ell\in\mathfrak{O}\,)$ is a real functional parameter, while the functional unit vector $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{\,\imath}$ is related to the functional parameters $z_{\,\imath},\bar{z}_{\,\imath},\nu_{\,\imath}$ through the relationship

$$\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{\imath}^{\,2} = z_{\,\imath}\,\bar{z}_{\,\imath} + \nu_{\,\imath}^{\,2} = 1 \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\imath\,\in\mathfrak{O}\,)$$

For example, a suitable functional parametrization is provided by a pair of polar angles, latitude $\Theta_{\,\imath}$ and azimuth $\phi_{\,\imath}$, in such a manner to set

$$\nu_{\,\imath} = \cos\Theta_{\,\imath} \qquad\qquad z_{\,\imath} = \sin\Theta_{\,\imath}\,\exp\{-\,\mathrm{i}\phi_{\,\imath}\}$$

From the basic commutation relation

$$[\,\mathrm{T}(z,\bar{z},\nu)\,,\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,] = -\,\bar{z}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger} - \nu_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath} \qquad [\,\mathrm{T}(z,\bar{z},\nu)\,,\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger}\,] = -\,z_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath} + \nu_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger} \tag{95}$$

we readily obtain

$$[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,]\,] = \mathrm{a}_{\,\imath} \qquad [\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger}\,]\,] = \mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger} \tag{96}$$

As a consequence, we actually obtain the most general Bogolyubov transformations in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath} = \mathrm{a}_{\,\imath} &+ \mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\,\imath}\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,] + \tfrac{1}{2!}\,(\mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\,\imath})^2\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,]\,] + \tfrac{1}{3!}\,(\mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\,\imath})^3\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\,]\,]\,]\\
&+\;\cdots\;=\;(\cos\theta_{\,\imath} - \mathrm{i}\,\nu_{\,\imath}\,\sin\theta_{\,\imath})\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\;-\;\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger}\,\bar{z}_{\,\imath}\sin\theta_{\,\imath}\\
&=\;c_{1\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\;-\;c_{2\,\imath}^{*}\,\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger}
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}_{\jmath}^{\dagger} = \mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger} &+ \mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\jmath}\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\,] + \tfrac{1}{2!}\,(\mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\jmath})^2\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\,]\,] + \tfrac{1}{3!}\,(\mathrm{i}\,\theta_{\jmath})^3\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,[\,\mathrm{T}\,,\,\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\,]\,]\,]\\
&+\;\cdots\;=\;(\cos\theta_{\jmath} + \mathrm{i}\,\nu_{\,\jmath}\,\sin\theta_{\jmath})\;\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\;-\;\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\jmath}\,z_{\,\jmath}\sin\theta_{\jmath}\\
&=\;c_{1\,\jmath}^{*}\,\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\;+\;c_{2\,\jmath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\jmath}
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{1\,\jmath}\;\equiv\;\cos\theta_{\jmath} - \mathrm{i}\,\nu_{\,\jmath}\,\sin\theta_{\jmath} \qquad\qquad c_{2\,\jmath}^{*}\;\equiv\;\mathrm{i}\,\bar{z}_{\,\jmath}\sin\theta_{\jmath}\\
&\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{\jmath}^{\,2}\;=\;\bar{z}_{\jmath}\,z_{\jmath} + \nu_{\jmath}^{\,2}\;=\;1 \qquad\qquad |\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,|^2\;+\;|\,c_{2\,\jmath}\,|^2\;=\;1
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

It follows thereby that the functional unitary operator

$$\mathcal{S}(\theta,z,\nu) = \mathcal{S}(\,\theta,\widehat{\mathbf{n}}\,) = \mathcal{S}(\,c_1,c_2\,) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{S}^{\,-1} = \mathcal{S}^{\,\dagger} \tag{100}$$

does generate the Bogolyubov similarity transformations which connect the extended in and out states and fields according to the suitable definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x)\;=\;\mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\,\Psi(x)\,\mathcal{S} \qquad\qquad \Psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x)\;=\;\mathcal{S}\,\Psi(x)\,\mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\\
&|\,\mathrm{out}\,\rangle\;=\;\mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\,|\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle \qquad\qquad |\,\mathrm{in}\,\rangle\;=\;\mathcal{S}\,|\,\mathrm{out}\,\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Moreover we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mathrm{out}}(x) &= \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{O}}\;\mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\left[\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\;u_{\,\imath\,-}(x) +\;\mathrm{b}_{\imath}^{\dagger}\;v_{\imath\,-}(x)\,\right]\,\mathcal{S}\\
&= \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{O}}\;\left[\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\jmath}\;u_{\,\jmath\,-}(x) +\;\mathrm{B}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\;v_{\jmath\,-}(x)\,\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mathrm{in}}(x) \;&=\; \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{O}}\;\mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\left[\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\;u_{\,\imath\,+}(x) +\;\mathrm{B}_{\imath}^{\dagger}\;v_{\imath\,+}(x)\,\right]\,\mathcal{S}\\
&= \sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{O}}\;\left[\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\jmath}\;u_{\,\jmath\,+}(x) +\;\mathrm{b}_{\jmath}^{\dagger}\;v_{\jmath\,+}(x)\,\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where, in the case of the spinor QED in a uniform electric field in four spacetime dimensions we have e.g.

$$u_{\imath\,\pm}(x) \equiv u^{(\pm)}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}) \qquad\qquad v_{\jmath\,\pm}(x) \equiv v^{(\pm)}_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}(t,\mathbf{r}) \tag{104}$$

Hence, the most general Bogolyubov transformation is nothing but a functional rotation in the Fock space with parameter functions $(\theta, z, \nu) = (\theta, \widehat{\mathbf{n}}) = (c_1, c_2)$, the generators of which are the pairs emission $\Pi^{\,\dagger}(\theta\bar{z})$, the pairs absorption $\Pi(\theta z)$ and the pairs number $\mathrm{N}(\theta\nu)$ operators, which actually fulfill the functional commutation relations (79) arising from the SU(2) Lie algebra.

Suppose that at a very remote time $\mathrm{t}_{\,\imath}\ \rightarrow\ -\infty$ the system is in a definite state, e.g. the vacuum $|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle$ for instance, so that it contains no physical fermion particles. The final state at a very future time $\mathrm{t}_{\,f}\ \rightarrow\ +\infty$ has some calculable probability to contain zero, one, two, etc. emitted pairs of fermion particles and antiparticles. For example the probability amplitude to remain in the vacuum state, i.e., the probability amplitude of emitting no pairs, is given by

$$\langle\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle = \langle\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,|\,\mathcal{S}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle = \langle\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,|\,\mathcal{S}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle \tag{105}$$

For this interpretation to make sense, one has to actually verify that the vacuum to vacuum probability

$$W_{0\,,\,f\,\leftarrow\,\imath}\ \equiv\ |\,\langle\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{in}\,\rangle\,|^{\,2}$$

is not greater than one. To this concern consider the hermitean operator

$$\begin{aligned} N_\imath\ &\equiv\ \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath} - \mathrm{B}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\right)\ =\ \mathcal{S}^{\,-1}\,n_{\,\imath}\,\mathcal{S} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(c^{*}_{1\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\ -\ c_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}_{\,\imath}\right)\left(c_{1\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\imath}\ -\ c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\right) \\ &- \tfrac{1}{2}\left(c_{1\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}_{\,\imath}\ +\ c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\right)\left(c^{*}_{1\,\imath}\,\mathrm{b}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\ +\ c_{2\,\imath}\,\mathrm{a}_{\,\imath}\right) \\ &=\ \left(\,|\,c_{1\,\imath}\,|^{2}\ -\ |\,c_{2\,\imath}\,|^{2}\,\right)n_{\,\imath} + c^{*}_{1\,\imath}\,c^{*}_{2\,\imath}\,\Pi^{\,\dagger}_{\imath} + c_{1\,\imath}\,c_{2\,\imath}\,\Pi_{\,\imath} \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where

$$4\,|\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,c_{2\,\jmath}\,|^{\,2} + \left(\,|\,c_{2\,\jmath}\,|^{\,2} - |\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,|^{\,2}\,\right)^{2} = 1$$

It follows that if we set

$$\zeta_{\,\jmath}\ \equiv\ 2\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,c_{2\,\jmath}\ , \qquad\qquad w_{\jmath}\ \equiv\ |\,c_{2\,\jmath}\,|^{\,2} - |\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,|^{\,2} \tag{107}$$

we can write the following *golden operator identity* that is valid $\forall\,\imath, \jmath, \ell, \ldots\ \in \mathfrak{Q}$:

$$2\,N_{\imath}\ =\ \zeta_{\,\imath}\,\Pi_{\,\imath} + \bar{\zeta}_{\,\imath}\,\Pi^{\,\dagger}_{\imath} + 2w_{\,\imath}\,n_{\imath} \qquad\qquad \bar{\zeta}_{\,\imath}\,\zeta_{\,\imath} + w^{\,2}_{\imath} = 1 \tag{108}$$

It is important to remark that the above equality (108) holds true thanks to the unitarity property satisfied by the Bogolyubov coefficients $c_{1\,\imath}\,,\ c_{2\,\jmath}$. Then, **for any complex distribution function** $\varphi\,(\mathbf{p}, r, \ldots) = \varphi_{\,\imath}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,\mathcal{N}(\varphi) \equiv \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} 2N_{\,\imath}\,\varphi_{\,\imath}\ &=\ \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \left(\mathrm{A}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath} - \mathrm{B}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\right)\varphi_{\,\imath} \\ &= \Pi^{\,\dagger}(\bar{\zeta}\varphi) + \Pi(\zeta\varphi) + 2J(\varphi w)\ =\ \varphi\ \cdot\ \mathrm{T}(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, w) \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

where, for example,

$$w_{\,\imath} = \cos\Theta_{\,\imath} \qquad\qquad \zeta_{\,\imath} = \sin\Theta_{\,\imath}\,\exp\{-\,\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{\,\imath}\} \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\imath\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}\,)$$

Now, owing to

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,N_{\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle\ &=\ \{\mathrm{B}_{\,\imath}\,,\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle = \delta_{\imath\imath}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle \\ &= \delta^{\,(n)}(0)\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle \equiv\ \mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\,|\,0\ \mathrm{out}\,\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_n$ denotes the total volume occupied by a large box in the nth dimensional space ($n = 1, 2, 3$), then we eventually obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\, 0 \text{ out} \,|\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle &= \langle\, 0 \text{ out} \,|\, \mathcal{S}_{\varphi} \,|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle \\
&= \langle\, 0 \text{ out} \,|\, \exp\{-\,2i\,\mathcal{N}(\varphi)\} \,|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle \\
&= \prod_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}} \exp\left\{-\,i\,\varphi_{\,\imath}\,\mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{-\,i\,\mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\textstyle\sum_{\,\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \varphi_{\,\imath}\,\right\} \qquad (111)
\end{aligned}$$

However, according to the natural interpretation which arises from equation (91), it is mandatory for consistency to identify

$$\varphi_{\,\jmath} \equiv i\,\ln\,c^{*}_{1\jmath} = \operatorname{Arg} c_{1\jmath} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\ln\,|\,c_{1\jmath}\,|^2 \qquad (\,\forall\,\jmath\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}\,) \qquad (112)$$

As a matter of fact, according to Nikishov [10], the logarithm of vacuum to vacuum transition amplitude is provided by

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln\langle\, 0 \text{ out} \,|\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle &= \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \langle\, 0_{\,\imath} \text{ out} \,|\, 0_{\,\imath} \text{ in} \,\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ c^{*}_{1\,\imath}\ =\ \operatorname{Tr}\ln c^{*}_{1} \\
&= \mathcal{V}_n\,(2\pi)^{-\,n}\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \ln c^{*}_{1\,\imath} \qquad (113)
\end{aligned}$$

A close comparison evidently yields once again (112). As a consequence it is possible to express the out vacuum in terms of the in operators in the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned}
|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle &= \mathcal{S}^{-1}_{\varphi}\,|\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \\
&=\ \exp\{2i\,\mathcal{N}(\varphi)\}\ |\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \\
&=\ \exp\{-\,2\,\mathcal{N}(\,\ln\,c^{*}_{1}\,)\}\ |\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \\
&=\ \exp\left\{\textstyle\sum_{\jmath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \mathrm{B}_{\,\jmath}\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\jmath}\ \ln\,c^{*}_{1\jmath}\right\} \\
&\times\ \exp\left\{-\textstyle\sum_{\imath\,\in\mathfrak{Q}}\ \mathrm{A}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\ \ln\,c^{*}_{1\imath}\right\}\ |\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \qquad (114)
\end{aligned}$$

Then from the commutation relations

$$[\,\mathrm{A}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\,,\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\varkappa}\,]\ =\ -\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\imath}\,\delta_{\,\imath\varkappa} \qquad [\,\mathrm{B}_{\,\imath}\,\mathrm{B}^{\dagger}_{\imath}\,,\,\mathrm{A}_{\,\varkappa}\,]\ =\ 0 \qquad (115)$$

it immediately follows that, by the very construction,

$$\mathrm{A}_{\,\varkappa}\,|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle\ =\ 0 \qquad (\,\forall\,\varkappa\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}\,) \qquad (116)$$

and in a quite analogous way one can readily check the other relation

$$\mathrm{B}_{\,\jmath}\,|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle\ =\ 0 \qquad (\,\forall\,\jmath\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}\,) \qquad (117)$$

and the corresponding ones under the exchange of in and out. Notice that the invertible operator $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi}$ is not unitary, owing to the presence of an imaginary part in the distribution function $\varphi = i\ln\,c^{*}_{1}$. Furthermore, from the golden operator identity (108) it follows that we can write

$$2\,\mathcal{N}(\varphi) = \varphi\cdot\mathrm{T}(\zeta,\bar{\zeta},w) \equiv\ \Pi^{\,\dagger}(\,\varphi\,\bar{\zeta}) + \Pi(\zeta\varphi) + 2\mathrm{N}(\varphi w) \qquad (118)$$

in such a manner that the out vacuum state can be expressed *à la* Dirac as an infinite sea of pairs, i.e., a coherent like state involving any number of pairs of any quantum numbers: namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\, 0 \text{ out} \,\rangle &= \mathcal{S}^{-1}_{\varphi}\,|\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle = \exp\{-\,2\,\mathcal{N}(\,\ln\,c^{*}_{1}\,)\}\ |\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \\
&= \exp\left\{-\,\Pi^{\,\dagger}(\,\bar{\zeta}\ln\,c^{*}_{1}\,) -\,\Pi(\,\zeta\,\ln\,c^{*}_{1}\,) -\,2\mathrm{N}(\,w\,\ln\,c^{*}_{1}\,)\right\}\ |\, 0 \text{ in} \,\rangle \qquad (119)
\end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\zeta_{\jmath} \;\equiv\; 2\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,c_{2\,\jmath} \qquad\qquad w_{\jmath} \;\equiv\; |\,c_{2\,\jmath}\,|^2 - |\,c_{1\,\jmath}\,|^2 \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\jmath \in \mathfrak{Q}\,)$$

Finally, as an example, in the case of spinor QED in the presence of a uniform electric field on the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime, i.e. $n = 3$, we have

$$\langle\, 0\text{ out}\,|\,0\text{ in}\,\rangle = \exp\left\{-\,i\,\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_\imath)\;2\cdot\frac{eE}{8\pi^3}\int \mathrm{d}^2p_\perp\;\varphi\,(\lambda)\right\} \tag{120}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_3 = \mathcal{V} \qquad\qquad p_\perp \;\equiv\; (\,p_y, p_z\,) \qquad\qquad \lambda \;\equiv\; (\,p_\perp^2 + M^2\,)/eE$$

in such a manner that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\,\langle\, 0\text{ in}\,|\,0\text{ out}\,\rangle\,|^2 \;&=\; \exp\left\{\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_\imath)\,\frac{eE}{(2\pi)^3}\int \mathrm{d}^2p_\perp\;\ln|\,c_1\,(\lambda)\,|^2\right\} \\ &=\; \exp\left\{\mathcal{V}\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_\imath)\,\frac{eE}{8\pi^3}\int \mathrm{d}^2p_\perp\;\ln\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\,\pi\lambda}\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

which is nothing but the Schwinger formula.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper I have shown that the processes of emission and absorption of charged fermion-antifermion pairs, in the presence of a background uniform electric field, can be fully described by means of an algebraic approach based upon the functional SU(2) Lie algebra, as obeyed by the pairs operators. Actually, the threesome of functional generators of SU(2) are nothing but the pair creation, the pair destruction and the pairs number operators respectively. This result allows to put the Schwinger pair production mechanism within a rigorous framework of quantum field theory in the presence of external, classical, background fields. In particular, the Bogolyubov transformations leading to the Bogolyubov coefficients, nicely appears to be nothing but a functional rotation in the Fock space. The present derivation is strongly tailored to the spinor QED, but is not difficult to imagine that the algebraic approach can be generalized to other contexts, such as varying external fields [21], charged scalar or vector quantized fields *et cetera.* Last but not least, even the Bogolyubov trasformations relating inertial and noninertial observers and leading to the famous Unruh and Hawking effects could be revisited in the light of the algebraic approach. This might simplify and clarify the main formulæ, once the suitable functional Lie groups have been identified.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the support of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Iniziativa Specifica PI13, that contributed to the successful completion of this project.

Appendix A: the Parabolic Cylinder Functions

The parabolic cylinder functions, of the special form we are interested in the present context, can be defined, e.g., by the integral representation **9.241** 1. p. 1092 of ref. [16]

$$D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1+i)\,\xi\,] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,2^{-i\lambda/2+1/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\lambda/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{i\xi^2/2}$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{-i\lambda/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2x^2 \pm 2ix(1+i)\xi}\;dx\,, \tag{122}$$

where $\lambda > 0\,,\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}\,,\ \arg x^{-i\lambda/2} = \lambda/2$ for $x < 0$, so that

$$D^{\,*}_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1+i)\,\xi\,] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,2^{\,i\lambda/2+1/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\lambda/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{-i\xi^2/2} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{i\lambda/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2x^2 \mp 2ix(1-i)\xi}\;dx\,. \tag{123}$$

After the change of variable $x \longmapsto -x$

$$D^{\,*}_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1+i)\,\xi\,] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,2^{\,i\lambda/2+1/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\lambda/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{-i\xi^2/2} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{i\lambda/2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2x^2 \pm 2ix(1-i)\xi}\;dx\,, \tag{124}$$

we eventually come to the conjugation property

$$D^{\,*}_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1+i)\,\xi\,] \;=\; D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,[\,\pm(1-i)\,\xi\,]\,, \tag{125}$$

as naïvely expected. The following special values appear in our calculations,

$$D_{\,\pm i\lambda/2}\,(0) = \pi^{-1/2}\,2^{\pm i\lambda/4}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i\lambda}{4}\right)\,\cosh\frac{\pi\lambda}{4}\,, \tag{126}$$

$$D_{\,\pm i\lambda/2-1}\,(0) = \pm i\,\pi^{-1/2}\,2^{\pm i\lambda/4-1/2}\,\Gamma\left(\pm\frac{i\lambda}{4}\right)\,\sinh\frac{\pi\lambda}{4}\,; \tag{127}$$

$$\pm\;\frac{\lambda}{2}\,|\,D_{\,\pm i\lambda/2-1}\,(0)\,|^2 = \pm\,\sinh\frac{\pi\lambda}{4}\,, \tag{128}$$

$$|\,D_{\,\pm i\lambda/2}\,(0)\,|^2 = \cosh\frac{\pi\lambda}{4}\,. \tag{129}$$

The parabolic cylinder functions fulfill the recursion formulas

$$\frac{d}{dz}\,D_\nu(z) = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,zD_\nu(z) + \nu\,D_{\nu-1}(z)\,, \tag{130}$$

$$\frac{d}{dz}\,D_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2}\,zD_\nu(z) - D_{\nu+1}(z)\,. \tag{131}$$

Consider the combination

$$D_+ \equiv D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,] + \frac{\lambda}{2}\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,]\,. \tag{132}$$

From the recursion formulæ we get

$$2\,\frac{d}{d\xi}\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,] \;=$$
$$\lambda\,(1+i)\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,] \;+\; \text{c.c.}$$
$$\lambda\,\frac{d}{d\xi}\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,] \;=$$
$$-\;\lambda\,(1+i)\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1-i)\,\xi\,] \;+\; \text{c.c.}\,,$$

so that the above combination D_+ does not depend upon ξ and from the conjugation property (125) we can write

$$D_+ = \;|D_{-i\lambda/2}(0)|^{\,2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\,|D_{-i\lambda/2-1}(0)|^{\,2} = \;\exp\{\pi\lambda/4\}\,. \tag{133}$$

Let us now consider the further combination

$$\begin{aligned} D_- \equiv\; & D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{i\lambda/2}\,[\,-(1-i)\,\xi\,] \\ & -\frac{\lambda}{2}\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(1+i)\,\xi\,]\,D_{i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,-(1-i)\,\xi\,]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

From the recursion formulæ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\,\frac{d}{d\xi}\,\{D_{i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\}\;= \\ & \lambda\,(1-i)\,D_{i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\;- \\ & -\;\lambda\,(1+i)\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,] \\ & \lambda\,\frac{d}{d\xi}\,\{D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,]\}\;= \\ & \lambda\,(1-i)\,D_{i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\;- \\ & -\;\lambda\,(1+i)\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,[\,(i+1)\,\xi\,]\,D_{i\lambda/2-1}\,[\,(i-1)\,\xi\,]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{135}$$

which leads to the conclusion that also the quantity D_- is independent of ξ, and yields

$$D_- =\;|D_{-i\lambda/2}(0)|^{\,2}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\,|D_{-i\lambda/2-1}(0)|^{\,2} =\;\exp\{-\pi\lambda/4\}\,. \tag{136}$$

The above important properties of the parabolic cylinder functions can be summarized in the remarkable formula

$$D_\pm =\;|D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(0)|^{\,2}\pm\frac{\lambda}{2}\,|D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}(0)|^{\,2} =\;\exp\{\pm\pi\lambda/4\}\,. \tag{137}$$

Consider the second order differential equations

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2}+\xi^2+\lambda\pm\,i\right)f_\pm(\xi,\lambda)=0\,. \tag{138}$$

Two pairs of linearly independent solutions for the upper sign equation are

$$f_+^{(1)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)=D_{-i\lambda/2}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\pi i/4}\right) \tag{139}$$

$$f_+^{(2)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)=D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,-\,\pi i/4}\right) \tag{140}$$

while two couples of linearly independent solutions for the lower sign equation are

$$f_-^{(1)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)=D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\pi i/4}\right) \tag{141}$$

$$f_-^{(2)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)=D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,-\,\pi i/4}\right) \tag{142}$$

To the aim of verifying linear independence we have to compute the wronskian. Let us first calculate derivatives by means of the recursion formulæ (130) and (131) that yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\xi}\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\pi i/4}\right)= \\ & -\,i\,\xi\,D_{-i\lambda/2}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\pi i/4}\right)\mp\,\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,3\pi i/4}\,D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\,\left(\pm\,\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\pi i/4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and thereby

$$W\left[\,f_+^{(1)}(\xi,\lambda)\,,\;f_+^{(1)}(-\,\xi,\lambda)\right]\;=\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\Gamma\left(-\,\frac{i\lambda}{2}\right)\,\sinh\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{2}\right) \tag{143}$$

On the other side we readily find

$$W\left[\, f_+^{(1)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)\,,\; f_+^{(2)}(\pm\,\xi,\lambda)\right] \;=\; \mp\,(1-i)\,\exp\{\,\pi\lambda/4\} \tag{144}$$

and analogous relationships for the other solutions.

In order to understand the physical meaning of the solutions of the wave field equations, we have to analyze the leading asymptotic behavior of the parabolic cylinder functions. Then from eq. **9.246** 1. p. 1093 of ref. [16] we have

$$\left.\begin{aligned} D_{-i\lambda/2}\left(\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;&\sim\; (2\xi^2)^{\,-i\lambda/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\lambda/8}\exp\left\{-\,i\,\xi^2/2\right\} \\ D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\left(\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;&\sim\; O(\xi^{-1}) \end{aligned}\right\}\qquad (\,\xi\gg\lambda>0\,)$$

If instead $\xi \ll -\lambda$ we have either $\xi\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4} = |\,\xi\,|\,\mathrm{e}^{5\pi i/4}$ or else $\xi\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4} = |\,\xi\,|\,\mathrm{e}^{-3\pi i/4}$. Now, for $\arg(\xi\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}) = 5\pi i/4$, no reliable asymptotic expansion is available, so that from eq. **9.246** 3., p. 1094 of ref. [16] we obtain the *bona fide* leading behaviour for $\xi \ll -\,\lambda < 0$: namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\left(\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;=\; D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\left(|\,\xi\,|\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-3\pi i/4}\right) \\ & \sim\; \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(1+i\lambda/2)}\,(2\xi^2)^{\,i\lambda/4}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{\pi\lambda}{8}+\frac{i\xi^2}{2}\right\}\,, \\ & D_{\,-i\lambda/2}\left(|\,\xi\,|\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-3\pi i/4}\right) \;\sim\; (2\xi^2)^{\,-i\lambda/4}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{3\pi\lambda}{8}-\frac{i\xi^2}{2}\right\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

Of course, the situation becomes exactly time-reversed for the two other linearly independent solutions: namely, for $\xi \gg \lambda > 0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\left(-\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;=\; D_{\,-i\lambda/2-1}\left(\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-3\pi i/4}\right) \\ & \sim\; \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(1+i\lambda/2)}\,(2\xi^2)^{\,i\lambda/4}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{\pi\lambda}{8}+\frac{i\xi^2}{2}\right\}\,, \\ & D_{\,-i\lambda/2}\left(-\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;=\; D_{\,-i\lambda/2}\left(\xi\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-3\pi i/4}\right) \\ & \sim\; (2\xi^2)^{\,-i\lambda/4}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{3\pi\lambda}{8}-\frac{i\xi^2}{2}\right\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

whereas for $\xi \ll -\lambda < 0$ we obtain

$$\left.\begin{aligned} D_{-i\lambda/2}\left(|\xi|\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;&\sim\; (2\xi^2)^{\,-i\lambda/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\lambda/8}\exp\left\{-\,i\,\xi^2/2\right\} \\ D_{-i\lambda/2-1}\left(|\xi|\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi i/4}\right) \;&\sim\; O(\xi^{-1}) \end{aligned}\right\}\qquad (\,\xi\ll-\,\lambda<0\,)$$

For a given particle momentum $p_x = p$ we shall associate the stationary asymptotic phase

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\,\xi^2(t) = \tfrac{1}{2}\,eEt^2 - pt + p^2/2eE \qquad\qquad \tfrac{1}{2}\,\dot{\xi}^2(t) = eEt - p \;\sim\; \omega t \tag{147}$$

to the *positive frequency solutions* ωt which describe either a particle, i.e. an electron of momentum p and charge $-\,e$ when $t\to+\infty$, or an antiparticle, i.e. a positron of momentum $-\,p$ and charge e when $t\to-\infty$. Conversely, the *negative frequency solutions* $-\,\xi^2(t)$ associated to the stationary asymptotic phases

$$-\;\tfrac{1}{2}\,\xi^2(t) = -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,eE\,ct^2 + pct - p^2/2eE \qquad\qquad -\;\tfrac{1}{2}\,\dot{\xi}^2(t) = -\,eEt + p \;\sim\; -\,\omega t \tag{148}$$

will instead describe either a particle of momentum p and charge $-\,e$ or an antiparticle of momentum $-\,p$ and charge e when $t\to\,-\infty$.

Appendix B : the Euclidean Formulation

In order to make contact with the celebrated Schwinger's formula (67) it is expedient to turn to the euclidean formulation [17]. To this aim, consider the euclidean Dirac operator for an electron

$$\not{D} + M \equiv (\partial_\mu - ie\,A_\mu)\,\gamma_\mu + M \tag{149}$$

where

$$x_\mu = (\tau, x, y, z) = (it, x, y, z) \qquad A_\mu = (0, \mathcal{E}\tau, 0, 0) \tag{150}$$

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu} \qquad \gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu^\dagger \qquad \gamma_\mu = (\,\gamma^0, -\,i\gamma^k\,) \tag{151}$$

in which $\mathcal{E} = -\,iE$ is the euclidean electric field. The euclidean Dirac operator is a normal elliptic operator so that one can can safely define its complex power and the corresponding euclidean effective action in the 1–loop approximation by means of the zeta function regularisation [18],[19]: namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{\rm E}_{\rm eff}[A_\mu] &= \mathcal{S}^{\rm E}_{\rm cl}[A_\mu] - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\ln\det\left[\,(\not{D}\,\not{D}^{\,\dagger} + M^2)/\mu^2\,\right] \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}^2 - \frac{\rm d}{2{\rm d}s}\bigg]_{s=0} {\rm Tr}\,\left[\,(\not{D}\,\not{D}^{\,\dagger} + M^2)/\mu^2\,\right]^{-s} \\
\mathcal{S}^{\rm E}_{\rm cl}[A_\mu] &= \int {\rm d}^4x\,\overline{\psi}(x)\,\{\,\gamma_\rho\,[\,\partial_\rho - eA_\rho(x)\,] + M\,\}\,\psi(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

in which μ is a suitable reference mass scale. The euclidean second order differential operator turns out to be elliptic and reads

$$\not{D}\,\not{D}^{\,\dagger} + M^2 = -\,\partial_\tau^2 + (\,p_x + e\mathcal{E}\tau)^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + M^2 + e\mathcal{E}\alpha^1 \tag{153}$$

where, of course,

$$\mathbf{p} = -\,i\,\nabla \qquad\qquad \alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_x \\ \sigma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{154}$$

Furthermore we have

$$[\,-\,\partial_\tau^2 + (\,p_x + e\mathcal{E}\tau)^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + M^2, e\mathcal{E}\alpha^1\,] = 0 \tag{155}$$

and we can easily find the spectrum and degeneracy of the second order differential scalar operator $-\,\partial_\tau^2 + (\,p_x + e\mathcal{E}\tau)^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + M^2$: namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{n,\,p_y,\,p_z} = p_y^2 + p_z^2 + M^2 + e\mathcal{E}(2n+1) \\
&p_y,\,p_z \in \mathbb{R} \qquad n + 1 \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \Delta = e\mathcal{E}/2\pi
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

It follows therefrom that we can write [16]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{ds}\bigg]_{s=0} {\rm Tr}\,\left[\,(\not{D}\,\not{D}^{\,\dagger} + M^2)/\mu^2\,\right]^{-s} \;=\; \frac{e^2\mathcal{E}^2}{4\pi^2}\,(\,{\rm vol}\,) \\
&\times\;\frac{d}{ds}\bigg]_{s=0} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{eE}\right)^s \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dy\; y^{\,s-2} e^{-ay}\,\coth y \\
&=\;(\,{\rm vol}\,)\,(\,e\mathcal{E}/\pi\,)^2 \\
&\times\;\frac{d}{ds}\bigg]_{s=0} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right)^s \frac{1}{s-1}\left[\zeta\left(s-1, \frac{a}{2}\right) - \frac{a^2}{4}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{-s}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

with $a = M^2/e\mathcal{E}$, $\Re\mathrm{e}\, s > 2$, where we can identify $(\,\mathrm{vol}\,) = \mathcal{V}\,\mathcal{T}$ in which $\mathcal{T} = i\,(\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_i)$ is the total euclidean time, so that, taking the simplest renormalization prescription $\mu = M$ into account, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{E}} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}^2 - \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^2 + \\ &+ \frac{e^2\mathcal{E}^2}{2\pi^2}\left\{\left(1 + \ln\frac{M^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right)\zeta\left(-1, \frac{M^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) - \zeta'\left(-1, \frac{M^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

On the other hand, from the representation as a series of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(z, q)$ for $\Re\mathrm{e}\, z < 0\,,\ 0 < q \le 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(z,q) &= \frac{2\,\Gamma(1-z)}{(2\pi)^{1-z}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{z-1}\sin\left(2\pi nq + \frac{z\pi}{2}\right) \\ \zeta'(z,q) &= \frac{2\,\Gamma(1-z)}{(2\pi)^{1-z}}\,[\,\ln 2\pi - \psi(1-z)\,]\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{z-1}\sin\left(2\pi nq + \frac{z\pi}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{2\,\Gamma(1-z)}{(2\pi)^{1-z}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{z-1}\,(\ln n)\sin\left(2\pi nq + \frac{z\pi}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{2\,\Gamma(1-z)}{(2\pi)^{1-z}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{z-1}\,\frac{\pi}{2}\,\cos\left(2\pi nq + \frac{z\pi}{2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta\left(-1, \frac{M^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) &= -\,\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}\,\cos\left(n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right) \\ \zeta'\left(-1, \frac{M^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\,[\,1 - \mathbf{C} - \ln 2\pi\,]\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}\cos\left(n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}\,(\ln n)\cos\left(n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}\,\sin\left(n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. It turns out that the effective lagrangian density in the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime is achieved under the inverse Wick rotation $\mathcal{E} \to -\,iE$ which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{M}}(E) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{E}}(-iE) = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,E^2 - \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^2 \\ &- \frac{e^2E^2}{2\pi^2}\left\{\left(1 + \ln\frac{iM^2}{2eE}\right)\zeta\left(-1, \frac{iM^2}{2eE}\right) - \zeta'\left(-1, \frac{iM^2}{2eE}\right)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

It follows therefrom that we eventually find

$$\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{M}}(E) = \frac{e^2E^2}{8\pi^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\,\exp\left\{-\,n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right\} \tag{158}$$

in perfect agreement with eq. (67) together with

$$\Re\mathrm{e}\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{M}}(E) = -\,\frac{e^2E^2}{4\pi^4}\left(\mathbf{C} + \ln\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\,\cosh\left\{n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right\}$$

$$- \frac{e^2E^2}{4\pi^4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\ln n}{n^2}\,\cosh\left\{n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right\} \tag{159}$$

As a consequence, the total rate of pairs production and/or annihilation in the whole space and during all the time will be given by the manifestly Lorentz invariant expression

$$\begin{aligned} w &\equiv 1 - \exp\left\{-2\mathcal{V}T\,\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathcal{F})\right\} \\ &= 1 - \exp\left(-\,\frac{e^2\mathcal{F}}{4\pi^3}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{n\pi M^2}{e\,\mathcal{F}^{1/2}}\right\}\right) \\ &\simeq \frac{e^2\mathcal{F}}{4\pi^3}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{\pi M^2}{e\,\mathcal{F}^{1/2}}\right\} \\ \mathcal{F} &\equiv\, -\,\frac{1}{2}\,F_{\mu\nu}\,F^{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{160}$$

when $\mathcal{G} \;\equiv\; \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}\,F_{\rho\sigma} \;=\; \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B} \;=\; 0$. As a matter of fact, once a uniform magnetic field is switched on, orthogonal to the electrostatic field, we have to solve the corresponding Dirac equation. Instead of solving explicitely the above equation, we can take profit of being within the context of a relativistic theory, so that it is expedient to consider a new inertial frame $K\,'$ moving along the negative OY axis with the velocity $v_2 = -v\,,\ v > 0$ with respect to the previously considered inertial frame K. Then the crossed magnetostatic field does actually appear in $K\,'$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{E}\,' = (E\,' = \gamma E, 0, 0,\,) \qquad\qquad \mathbf{B}\,' = (0, 0, \gamma v E = B\,'\,)$$

so that $v = (B'/E')$. Notice, *en passant*, that by means of a Lorentz transformation and just owing to the Lorentz invariance, we shall be restricted to the case in which $\mathbf{E}\,'\cdot\mathbf{B}\,' = 0$, namely electric and magnetic orthogonal fields, as well asin the first two sections $\mathbf{E}\,'^{\,2} > \mathbf{B}\,'^{\,2}$, that means relatively weak magnetostatic field, viz. $0 < v < 1$. The spinor field in the $K\,'$ reference frame can be obtained in turn from the transformation law $\Psi\,'(x\,') = \Lambda(v)\,\Psi(x)$. It follows therefrom, taking eq.s (24), (27) and (30) suitably into account, that the spinor solutions which describe an incoming electron, in the presence of crossed constant electromagnetic fields, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &[\,u^{(-)}_{\mathbf{p}\,,r}\,(t,\mathbf{r})\,]\,' = [\,2eE\lambda(2\pi)^3\,]^{-1/2}\,\exp\{i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r} -\, \pi\lambda/8\} \\ &\times\;\left\{\Upsilon'_r\,D_{\,i\lambda/2}\,(z_-) + \widetilde{\Upsilon}'_r\,(1-i)\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,\sqrt{eE}\,D_{\,i\lambda/2-1}\,(z_-)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Upsilon'_r = \Lambda(v)\,\Upsilon_r \qquad \widetilde{\Upsilon}'_r = \Lambda(v)\,\widetilde{\Upsilon}_r \qquad\qquad (\,r = 1,2\,) \tag{161}$$

and corresponding rather analogous expressions for all other solutions of the Dirac equation. From the Lorentz invariance of the effective lagrangian we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{E}\,',\mathbf{B}\,') \;= \\ &=\; \frac{e^2}{8\pi^3}\,(\mathbf{E}\,'^{\,2} - \mathbf{B}\,'^{\,2})\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2}\,\exp\left\{-\,\frac{n\pi M^2}{e\,|\,\mathbf{E}\,' - \mathbf{B}\,'\,|}\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

in accordance with the result of refs. [5].

If, instead, in addition to the electrostatic field there is also a uniform magnetic field not orthogonal to the electric field, then in a suitable Lorentz coordinate system we can always take **E** and **B** to be directed along the OX axis. In such a circumstance, it turns out that the imaginary part of the effective lagrangian is provided by the celebrated Schwinger's formula [10, 4]

$$\Im\mathrm{m}\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{M}}(E,B)=\frac{e^2BE}{8\pi^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\,\exp\left\{-\,n\,\frac{\pi M^2}{eE}\right\}\coth\left(n\pi\,\frac{B}{E}\right)$$

References

[1] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A**117**, 610 (1928); *ibid.* **118**, 351 (1928); *ibid.* **126**, 360 (1930).

[2] Fritz Sauter, *Über das Verhalten eines Elektrons im homogenen elektrischen Feld nach der relativistischen Theorie Diracs*, Z. Phys. **69**, 742 (1931).

[3] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, *Consequences of Dirac's Theory of Positrons*, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936); English translation at e-Print arXiv:0605038 [physics].

[4] J. Schwinger, *On Gauge Invariance and Vacuum Polarization*, Phys. Rev. **82** (1951) 664.

[5] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.

[6] W. Greiner, B. Müller and J. Rafelski, *Quantum Electrodynamics of Strong Fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985; W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, *Effective Lagrangians in Quntum Electrodynamics*, Lect. Notes Phys. **220**, 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1885. W. Greiner and J. Reinhardt, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992; W. Dittrich and H. Gies, *Probing the quantum vacuum. Pertubative effective action approach in quantum electrodynamics and its applications*, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. **166**, 1 (2000).

[7] For a recent and very good review, with many references, see: Gerald V. Dunne, *New Strong-Fields QED Effects at ELI : Nonperturbative Vacuum Pair Production*, e-Print arXiv:0812.3163v1 [hep-th] 16 Dec 2008, Lectures given at ELI Workshop and School on *Fundamental Physics with Ultra-high Fields*, Monastery Frauenworth, Germany, 29 Sep – 2 Oct 2008, Eur. Phys. J. **D55** 327-340 (2009).

[8] Gerald V. Dunne, Holger Gies and Ralf Schültzhold, *Catalysis of Schwinger Vacuum Pair Production*, Phys. Rev. D**80**, 111301 (2009), e-Print arXiv:0908.0948v1 [hep-th]; *Dynamically Assisted Schwinger Mechanism*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 130404 (2008), e-Print: arXiv:0807.0754 [hep-th].

[9] Danielle Allor, Thomas D. Cohen and David A. McGady, *Schwinger mechanism and graphene*, Phys. Rev. D**78**, 096009 (2008), e-Print arXiv:0708.1471v4 [cond-math.mes-hall]; C.G. Beneventano, P. Giacconi, E.M. Santangelo and R. Soldati, *Planar QED at finite temperature and density: Hall conductivity, Berry's phases and the minimal conductivity of graphene*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 275401 (2009), e-Print arXiv:0901.0396 [hep-th]; P. Giacconi and R. Soldati, *On the mystery of the missing pie in graphene*, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **24**, 2225-2233 (2010), e-Print arXiv:0906.2856v3 [cond-mat.mes-hall].

[10] A.I. Nikishov, *Pair Production by a Constant External Field*, Soviet Physics JEPT **30**, 660–662 (1970), English translation from Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **57**, 1210–1216 (October 1969); N.B. Narozhnyi and A.I. Nikishov, *The simplest Processes in a Pair-Producing Field*, Soviet J. Nucl. Phys. **11**, 596 (1970), English translation from Yad. Fiz. **11**, 1072 (1970).

[11] A.I. Nikishov, *Classical and Quantum Scattering by a Coulomb Potential*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **67**, 1478 (2004), English translation from Yad. Fiz. **67**, 1503 (2004), e-Print arXiv:0804.2149 [hep-th]; *On vacuum vacuum amplitude and Bogolyubov coefficients*, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **96**, 180 (2003), English translation from Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **96**, 211 (2003), e-Print arXiv:0207085 [hep-th].

[12] L.C.B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, and G.E.A. Matsas, *The Unruh effect and its applications*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 787 (2008) and references therein; P. Longhi and R. Soldati, *Unruh effect revisited*, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 107701 (2011).

[13] M.S. Marinov and V.S. Popov, *Electron-Positron Pair Creation from Vacuum Induced by Variable Electric Field*, Fortschritte der Physik **25**, 373–400 (1977) see appendix A.3., eq. (A.32) p. 393.

[14] Nikolay Nikolaevich Bogolyubov, *On the Theory of Superfluidity* (in Russian) Izv. Academii Nauk USSR **11** (1): 77 (1947); Journal of Physics **11** (1): 23-32 (1947); *Lectures on Quantum Statistics. Problems of Statistical Mechanics of Quantum Systems*, New York, Gordon and Breach (1967).

[15] V.B. Berestetskij, E.M. Lifšits and L.P. Pitaevskij, *Teoria quantistica relativistica*, Editori Riuniti, Roma, 1978.

[16] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Fifth Edition, Alan Jeffrey Editor, Academic Press, San Diego, 1996.

[17] Sidney R. Coleman, *The Uses of Instantons*, Proceedings of the 1977 International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice, Antonino Zichichi Editor, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[18] D.B. Ray and I.M. Singer, *R–torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. in Math. **7**, 145–210 (1971); J.S. Dowker and R. Critchley, *Effective lagrangian and energy momentum tensor in de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. D**13**, 3224 (1976); Robert T. Seeley, *Complex power of an elliptic operator*, Amer. Math. Soc. Symp. Pure Math. **10**, 288–307 (1967); Steven W. Hawking, *Zeta function regularisation of path integrals in curved spacetime*, Commun. Math. Phys. **55**, 133 (1977).

[19] Steven K. Blau, Matt Visser and Andreas Wipf, *Analytical Results For The Effective Action*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**6**, 5409–5433 (1991), e-Print arXiv:0906.2851 [hep-th]; R. Soldati and L. Sorbo, *Effective action for Dirac spinors in the presence of general uniform electromagnetic fields*, Phys. Lett. B**426**, 82–88 (1998); *Effective action for Dirac spinors in the uniform electromagnetic background fields*, Florenz 1998, *Path integrals from peV to TeV*, pp. 348-351 (1998), e-Print arXiv:9810133 [hep-th]; Q.-G. Lin, *Electron-positron pair creation in vacuum by an electromagnetic field in (3+1)–dimensions and lower dimensions*, J. Phys. G**17**, 26 (1999), e-Print arXiv:9810037 [hep-th].

[20] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, 1978.

[21] Cesim K. Dumlu, Gerald V. Dunne, *Interference Effects in Schwinger Vacuum Pair Production for Time-Dependent Laser Pulses*, e-Print arXiv:1102.2899v2 [hep-th] 21 Feb 2011.